Bitcoin: Overview of U.S. Legal Treatment

All Payments Expo

Reuben Grinberg Davis Polk & Wardwell

February 24, 2015





http://bit.ly/1Ln7oMF

Davis Polk

bitcoin-reg.com

Outline

Regulatory						
			Federal	State		
AML		FinCEN	Money transmitter regimes			
Prudential Consur		ner Protection	СГРВ	Virtual currency specific regimes (e.g. NYDFS BitLicense; CSBS Model		
	Other			Framework)		
Tax		IRS	State tax agencies			
Market		SEC CFTC	State regulators			
	Enforcement					
Ponzi Scheme	S	SEC v. Trendon T. Shavers				
Money Laundering		Silk Road / Silk Road 2.0				
		U.S. v. Robert M. Faiella and Charlie Shrem; U.S. v. Ross William Ulbricht				
Unregistered Offer	Unregistered Offerings /		In the Matter of Erik T. Voorhees			
Exchanges		In the Matter of BTC Trading, Corp. and Ethan Burnside				
Deceptive Marke	ting	FTC v. BF Labs, Inc., d/b/a Butterfly Labs				

DISCLAIMER: The presentation is a summary of many important issues but does not cover every potential legal or regulatory issue surrounding virtual currency. Make sure to consult counsel.





State Regulatory Approaches: Money Transmitter Regimes

- A "Money Transmitter" must register transmission of "money" or transmission of "funds" with each state regulator where it does business.
- New York: "No person or entity may engage in the business of selling or issuing payment instruments, such as checks, or engage in the business of receiving money for transmission or transmit money without a license from the Superintendent."
 - "Virtual currencies are on our radar screen because we regulate money transmitters like Western Union and MoneyGram, but our rules were written long before the internet or virtual currencies." – New York Department of Financial Services ("NYDFS") Superintendent Benjamin Lawsky, Money 2020 Conference (Nov. 2014)
- Oklahoma: A "Money Transmitter" includes "any person who engages in the business of accepting currency or funds
 denominated in currency, and transmits the currency or funds or the value of the currency or funds..."

What do State Transmitter Regulations Generally Cover?

Consumer protection:

- Restitution
- Privacy of consumer financial information
- Financial disclosure

Anti-Money Laundering:

- AML / KYC compliance program
- SARs / CTRs (for transactions >\$10,000)
- Recordkeeping

Minimal capital requirements:

- For example: \$100,000 (WI, TX); \$500,000 (OH)
- Bond or securities

Required Documentation:

Corporate documents, principal officers, directors, equity owners, operations

Application of State Transmitter Regulations to Bitcoin

- Coinbase is <u>registered</u> as a money transmitter in 16 states (plus Puerto Rico).
- Some states (e.g., <u>TX</u>, <u>KS</u> and <u>WA</u>) have put out guidance describing treatment of virtual currencies under their money transmitter regimes.
- <u>CA</u> recently repealed a provision of state law that prohibited the use of anything but the lawful money of the U.S., legalizing the use of Bitcoin in the state.
- Some states have determined that transactions solely involving virtual currency do not constitute money transmission.





State Regulatory Approaches: New York "BitLicense" Reproposed Regulations and CSBS Model Framework

- On February 4, 2015, the NYDFS <u>reproposed</u> the "BitLicense" virtual currency regime; comments are due by March 6, 2015.
- On December 16, 2014, CSBS <u>issued</u> a model framework that largely mirrors NYDFS's BitLicense proposal; hasn't been proposed by any state regulator.

Key Components of the BitLicense Regime

Activities Requiring Registration:

- receiving virtual currency for transmission or transmitting virtual currency;
- storing, holding, or maintaining custody or control of virtual currency on behalf of others;
- buying and selling virtual currency as a customer business;
- performing exchanges services as a customer business.
- controlling, administering, or issuing a virtual currency

Activities Excluded from Registration:

- Mere merchant / consumer activities
- Development and dissemination of software in and of itself
- Miners
- Non-financial uses

Consumer Protection:

 Disclosure of material information; acknowledgement of disclosures; recordkeeping; fraud prevention; documentation

Books and Records:

 Names, account numbers and physical addresses of own customers / accountholders and, to the extent practicable, counterparties to the transaction; must be maintained for at least 7 years

Bank Secrecy Act / Anti-Money Laundering:

 AML / KYC compliance program; recordkeeping; designation of compliance officer; report transactions >\$10,000 to NYDFS / FinCEN

Safeguarding of Assets:

 Must maintain capital requirements determined by Superintendent based on a variety of factors related to risks to customers / counterparties

Cyber Security:

 Must maintain a cyber security program; annual reports to NYDFS; ensure security of all applications utilized by licensee

Supervision:

NYDFS has broad discretion to approve / deny; revoke / suspend licenses





Federal Regulatory Approaches: FinCEN



- FinCEN has issued regulations implementing the Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA") / USA PATRIOT Act for Money Services Businesses ("MSBs") (Money Transmitters are a type of MSB).
- Starting in 2013, FinCEN has issued guidance and administrative decisions as to how Bitcoin and virtual currency fits within the BSA / USA PATRIOT Act regulatory scheme.

RSA	Rea	uirem	ents	for I	MSBs
DOA	IZEA	uncn	ICIIIO	101 1	AIODS

- SARs: report must be filed when transaction conducted by or through MSB is suspicious and >\$2,000.
- Anti-Money Laundering Program: MSB must develop, implement and maintain an effective AML program.
- Currency Transaction Report: report must be filed for transactions involving currency >\$10,000.
- Recordkeeping for amounts >\$3,000: must maintain records when issuing / selling a bank check or draft, cashier's check, money order or travelers check for amounts >\$3,000.
- Designation of U.S. Resident as Agent: foreign MSBs must designate an agent who resides in the U.S.
- Records / Retention Period: must retain all records for at least five years.

Application to Bitcoin / Virtual Currency		
Convertible Currencies	Virtual currency not legal tender; therefore subject to MSB rules.	
	"Users" (Not MSBs); "Exchangers" / "Administrators" (MSBs)	
<u>Mining</u>	Generally, individual miners and mining businesses are not MSBs.	
Investment Companies	Generally, bona fide investment companies engaged in investing in / trading in Bitcoin are not MSBs.	
Payment Processing	A company exchanging convertible virtual currency – for purposes of providing customer payments to merchants in bitcoin – is considered a money transmitter.	
<u>Virtual</u> <u>Currency</u> <u>Exchanges</u>	Generally, a person must register with FinCEN as a money transmitter when engaging in convertible virtual currency transactions as an exchanger.	
Customer Due Diligence Proposal	Generally, covered institutions must identify and verify the natural persons behind legal entity customers.	





Federal Regulatory Approaches: CFTC



- CFTC Jurisdiction anything involving futures (not a spot sale or mere delayed delivery).
 - Bitcoin likely a commodity under the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA"):
 - CEA § 1a(9): "The term 'commodity' means...all services, rights, and interests (except motion picture box office receipts, or any index, measure, value or data related to such receipts) in which contracts for future delivery are presently or in the future dealt in."
 - CFTC will / stands ready to use anti-manipulation authority in spot market.
- CFTC registration is extensive, burdensome and costly:

Example Requirements:		
File registration forms with the National Futures Association	Maintain daily trading records; AML program	Satisfy reporting and recordkeeping requirements
Comply with business conduct and documentation standards	Appoint a chief compliance officer	Prepare for periodic on-site audits

- CFTC <u>approved application</u> of TeraExchange as Bitcoin Swap Execution Facility.
- The CFTC is currently reviewing several applications:
 - The North American Derivatives Exchange's plan to launch a Bitcoin binary options offering.
 - LedgerX's application for approval as a Bitcoin <u>derivatives exchange</u>.





Federal Regulatory Approaches: SEC



SEC Jurisdiction	Investment Vehicles
 The SEC has jurisdiction over securities. 'Security" means "any note, stock, treasury stock, security future, security-based swap, bond, debenture, evidence of indebtedness, certificate of interest or participation in any profit-sharing agreement, collateral-trust certificateinvestment contract, [and] voting-trust certificate" § 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act of 1933 	 Investment vehicles that hold Bitcoins and offer interests in the vehicle are offering securities. See Winklevoss Bitcoin Trust S-1.
Bitcoin is not a "Security"	Enforcement Actions
 Bitcoin not a "Security" for purposes of § 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act. 	 SEC remains interested in Bitcoin Ponzi schemes.
Not a "note," "stock" or "investment contract" – lacks	Recent actions include charges brought against
 important characteristics of these things. No dividends; no right to vote in proportion of ownership; and no promise to pay sum of money (See R. Grinberg, Bitcoin: An Innovative Alternative Digital Currency, 4 Hastings Sci. & Tech. L.J. 160, 	 Trendon T. Shavers, Erik Voorhees and Ethan Burnside. The SEC has also issued an investor warning on the topic of Bitcoin and virtual currency-related investments.





Federal Regulatory Approaches: IRS and CFPB



IRS	СГРВ
 Guidance (Mar 2014): Bitcoin should be treated as "property" under federal tax law. All purchases with Bitcoins are taxable events, including: Purchases of goods. Wages paid to employees using virtual currency (taxable to the employee; must be reported by employer on Form W-2). Payments using virtual currency made to independent contractors / service providers. 	 Proposed Updates to Regulation E (Nov 2014): the CFPB proposes new rules relating to prepaid market disclosures. May apply to virtual currency and related products / services. Would require: disclosure of material information / general terms and conditions; documentation; complaint mechanisms and remedy for errors. Consumer Advisory (Aug 2014): the CFPB issues consumer advisory warning about virtual currencies. Hackers; scams Fewer legal protections (compared to fiat currency) Costs difficult to calculate





Enforcement: Money Laundering

- Money laundering capabilities of virtual currencies a concern for authorities:
 - Silk Road / Silk Road 2.0: online black marketplaces used to facilitate criminal activity. Shut down by joint government task forces.
 - Ross Ulbricht (founder of Silk Road) found guilty in Feb. 2015 of conspiracy to distribute controlled substances, computer hacking and money laundering.
 - Blake Benthall (operator of Silk Road 2.0) was <u>arrested</u> in Nov. 2014 on similar charges.
 - Charlie Shrem: Shrem, a former vice chairman of the Bitcoin Foundation, was, along with Robert Faiella, arrested for <u>unlawfully converting</u> dollars into Bitcoin for users of Silk Road.
 - Shrem and Faiella were charged with operating an unlicensed Money
 Transmitting Business (failure to register with FinCEN), money laundering and willful failure to file SARs with FinCEN. Both pleaded guilty in Sept. 2014.
 - Shrem sentenced to <u>two years</u>; Faiella sentenced to <u>four years</u>.



Enforcement: Ponzi Schemes and Unregistered Securities Offerings / Exchanges

Bitcoin used to perpetrate a Ponzi scheme:

- **Trendon T. Shavers:** The SEC <u>charged</u> Shavers, founder / operator of Bitcoin Savings and Trust, with soliciting investments in Bitcoin-related investment opportunities to perpetrate a Ponzi scheme.
 - A judge levied a \$40 million fine against Shavers for violating Sections 5 and 17(a) of the Securities Act and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act.

Unregistered securities offerings / exchanges involving Bitcoin:

- **Ethan Burnside:** Sanctioned by SEC for <u>operating</u> two digital currency exchanges without registering them as either broker-dealers or stock exchanges.
 - Ordered to pay \$68,000 in fines for violating the Securities Act, Exchange Act and Investment Company Act.
- Erik T. Voorhees: Sanctioned by SEC for violating Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act for publicly offering unregistered securities in two of his bitcoin-related ventures, SatoshiDICE and FeedzeBirds.
 - Ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000 and is prohibited from making a Bitcoin security offering for next five years.



Reuben Grinberg

Associate



New York Office 212 450 4967 tel 212 701 5967 fax

reuben.grinberg@davispolk.com

Mr. Grinberg is an associate in Davis Polk's Financial Institutions Group. His practice focuses on bank regulatory advice, including Dodd-Frank Act regulatory implementation, the preparation of living wills, Basel III capital and liquidity, Volcker Rule, and financial institution capital markets and M&A transactions.

In addition, Mr. Grinberg wrote the first widely read and cited academic paper on Bitcoin, and is often consulted by the media as an expert on digital currencies. He advises clients on the novel regulatory issues relating to Bitcoin and digital currency-based businesses.

Before joining Davis Polk, Mr. Grinberg programmed trading server software for Bridgewater Associates, one of the world's largest hedge funds.

Education

- B.S., Computer Science, Yale College, 2005
- M.Sc., Computer Science, University of Texas at Austin, 2007
- J.D., Yale Law School, 2011
 - Executive Editor, Yale Law & Policy Review



